```
<sbrucc3google.com>, "Dominic Mazzoni" <dmazzoni0google.com>, "Aaron Lee"
<akylse@youtube.com>, "Nadine Harik" <nadineh@google.com>
BCC:
                   2007-07-23 20:14:48 GMT
Sent Bate:
                   Re: Fat Cat.
Subject:
We tried to call in, but the participant number was invalid.
Or 7/23/07, Matthew Liu <matthewllu@google.com> wrote;
> Hi Max,
> We are waiting on the conference line. Are you still able to join? I
> dich't see your note about adding a Vc room in SMO unfortunately out the
> dial in is there (we aren't in VC either).

⊘ Matt
> On 7/17/67, Max Maxwell <maxwell@google.com> wrote:
> > Hi Matthew,
> >
>> Friday or Monday should be fine for a meeting, especially if it's
>> between 12 and 6. In addition to sbruce@ and dmazzcni@ above, you might
add
> > mote@ to this meeting.
> >
>> Some comments inline ...
シ >
>> On 7/15/07, Matthew Liu < matthewliu@google.com > wrote:
> > > Glad to meet you all. Thanks for the intro Thomas.
> > >
\gg \gg Here at YT we have a very high priority in monetizing YT search pages.
> >> YT search is furdamentally different from Google.com, in that keywords
>>> are not always the cest proxy for what a user may be interested in (it's
> > less of a seek for information/products as a looser browse experience in
>>> many cases). Throw in some additional business/legal policy, we decided
÷ο
>>> foll forward with targeting search verticals instead of keywords.
> > we wanted to have a keyword-to-vertical mapping system to bucket search
> >> queries into marketable categories that advertisers can purchase (also
note,
\gg > this is not a bid model yet but a direct sales one).
> > >
>>> To create a homegrown classification system would obviously take a lot
>>> of work. In the interest of speedy time to market we have instead
borrowed
>>> the CAT2 vertical classification system (which I believe originates from
SMO
>>> and originally was designed for identifying the "type" of vertical a
>>> publisher site was by looking at keywords). This has already been built
into
```

"Matthew Liu" <matthewLiuggoogle.com>

"Thomas Williams" <thaw@google.com>, "Scott Bruce"

"Max Maxwell" <maxwell@google.com>

To:

CGT

From:

Highly Confidential G00001-07165570

```
> > > our search results and we will shortly begin monetizing on these
verticals
                                                                  174-0002
>>> of content.
> >
> >
>> This sounds very close to our existing USO ads product, "vertical
> targeting*, which allows advertisers to select from 750 verticals, and
> compete in the auction for placements where the page is detected to fall
> > within that vertical category.
> >
> > Vertical classification wasn't built explicitly for keyword
\gg 	imes classification, though several teams use it to that end. Most prominent
> > vertical classification applications are optimized for page or website
> > classification.
> > Now that we have more or less completed a working prototype that can
>>> kickstart our monetization efforts, I wanted to dive down deeper. I
> > to learn more about the classifiers work that is being done to really
>> > understand what the underlying paradicms are.
> >
> >
> > Vertical classification piggybacks on Rephil. Are you familiar with
⇒ > Rephil?
> You might also want to consult our documentation in advance of a
> > meeting:
> >
> >
> >
http://wiki.corp.google.com/twiki/bin/view/Main/CatTeam#Use Verticals for Your
> https://www.corp.google.com/eng/designdocs/content-ads/vertical-
categorization.html
\rightarrow \rightarrow
> >
> > Further, I would like to explore how the library (rather, a copy of the
> >> library) may possibly be tweaked for YT by learning off of YT historical
>>> data. For example, the majority of our queries are less geared to
products (
>> > e.g. terms such as 'panda' trigger software on google.com as the
> > highest probability match but we would want to match it to the animals
> > > vertical on YT).
3 3
>> I should counsel that this may be more difficult than you anticipate,
> > depending on what you mean by "library" and "tweak". Since our
> > classification picgybacks on Rephil, you may consider training a custom
> Rephil model based on Youtube search sessions and user-contributed tags.
Me
> \succ have scripts that can help you migrate some of our vertical labels to a
> > custom Rephil model.
> >
> > Max
> >
> > If it makes sense, somewhere in the far future, YT will probably want a
>>> completely separate classification mapping so that our targeting against
> > queries is most relevant. Any information that you can share about your
team
> > would be great. At some point, I'd like to that on the phone or pay a
```

Highly Confidential G00001-07165571

```
visit
> > to SMO with some of our engineers (CC'ed here).
                                                               174-0003
>>> Thanks. Looking forward to learning more.
> > >
>> > Matt
>>>
> > >
> > >
> > > On 7/10/07, Thomas Williams < thaw@google.com > wrote:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > Hey everyone meet Matthew Liu a YouTube PM (on the montezation side
> > > > I believe?)
>>>> He didn't see Scott's (very casual no-slides) presentation, so I
>>>> thought I'd introduce you folks via email.
                                                 Matthew, other than
suggesting
>>>> you start at the P page or wiki, is there a specific question or area
> > > related to our Classifiers that the team could help you with?
> > >
>>>> Cheers.
> > > >
> > > > thaw=
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > > On 7/9/07, Matthew Liu <matthewliu@google.com > wrote:
>>>> Hi Thomas,
>>>>>
>>>> Unfortunately I had a conflict on Friday and was unable to call in
> > > > to the Fat Cat demo. Can you provide me with any slides and contact
>>>> information for that team so I can follow up and begin learning more
about
> > > > their great work? Thanks in advance.
>>>>>>
> > > > > Matt.
>>>>>>
> > > >
メメメン
> > >
3 3
```

Highly Confidential G00001-07165572